

## Approximation error of generalized Shannon sampling operators with bandlimited kernels in terms of an averaged modulus of smoothness

Gert Tamberg\*

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the approximation properties of generalized sampling operators in terms of an averaged modulus of smoothness.

**2000 AMS subject classification:** 41A25, 41A45, 42A42.

**Keywords:** generalized sampling operators, averaged modulus of smoothness.

### 1 Introduction

For the uniformly continuous and bounded functions  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  the generalized sampling series are given by ( $t \in \mathbb{R}; W > 0$ )

$$(S_W f)(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{W}\right) s(Wt - k), \quad (1)$$

where the condition for the operator  $S_W : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$  to be well-defined is

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u - k)| < \infty \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}), \quad (2)$$

the absolute convergence being uniform on compact intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If the kernel function is

$$s(t) = \text{sinc}(t) := \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t},$$

which do not satisfy (2), we get the classical (Whittaker-Kotel'nikov-)Shannon operator,

$$(S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{W}\right) \text{sinc}(Wt - k).$$

Because  $\text{sinc}(t) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$  the series  $(S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)$  for an arbitrary function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  may be divergent. A set of fixed points of the sampling operator  $S_W^{\text{sinc}}$  is equal to the Bernstein class  $B_{\pi W}^p$  (if  $p = \infty$ , then  $B_{\sigma}^p$  with  $\sigma < \pi W$ ) – the class of those bounded functions  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) which can be extended to an entire function  $f(z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ) of exponential type  $\sigma$  ([1] or [2], 4.3.1), i. e.,

$$|f(z)| \leq e^{\sigma|y|} \|f\|_C \quad (z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The idea to replace the sinc kernel  $\text{sinc}(\cdot) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by another kernel function  $s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  appeared first in [3], where the case  $s(t) = (\text{sinc}(t))^2$  was considered. A systematic study of sampling operators (1) for arbitrary kernel functions  $s$  with (2) was initiated at RWTH Aachen by P. L. Butzer and his students since 1977 (see [4], [1], [5] and references cited there).

Since in practice signals are however often discontinuous, this paper is concerned with the convergence of  $S_W f$  to  $f$  in the  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -norm for  $1 < p < \infty$ , the classical modulus of continuity being replaced by the averaged modulus of smoothness  $\tau_k(f; 1/W)_p$ . For the classical (Whittaker-Kotel'nikov-Shannon) operator this approach was introduced by P. L. Butzer, C. Bardaro, R. Stens and G. Vinti (2006) in [6] for  $1 < p < \infty$ . For time-limited kernels  $s$  this approach was applied for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  in [7] and [8].

In this paper we study an even band-limited kernel  $s$ , i.e.  $s \in B_{\pi}^1$ , defined by an even window function  $\lambda \in C_{[-1,1]}$ ,  $\lambda(0) = 1$ ,  $\lambda(u) = 0$  ( $|u| \geq 1$ ) by the equality

$$s(t) := s(\lambda; t) := \int_0^1 \lambda(u) \cos(\pi t u) du. \quad (3)$$

\*Department of Mathematics, Tallinn University of Technology, Ehitajate tee 5, Tallinn (Estonia)

In fact, this kernel is the Fourier transform of  $\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$s(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \lambda^\wedge(\pi t). \tag{4}$$

We first used the band-limited kernel in general form (3) in [9], see also [10]. We studied the generalized sampling operators  $S_W : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$  with the kernels in form (3) in [11], [12], [13], [14], [15] and [16]. We computed exact values of operator norms

$$\|S_W\| := \sup_{\|f\|_C \leq 1} \|S_W f\|_C$$

and estimated the order of approximation in terms of modulus of smoothness. In this paper we give similar results for  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  norm in terms of the averaged modulus of smoothness.

## 2 Preliminary results

In this section we follow the approach of Butzer et al [6] of convergence problems of Shannon sampling series in a suitable subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

### 2.1 Averaged modulus of smoothness

The Bulgarian school under Sendov [17] has introduced a so-called averaged modulus of smoothness  $\tau_k(f; \delta)_p$ . However, in Sendov and Popov [17] this modulus is only studied for bounded, measurable functions  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , whereas (at least) in sampling analysis one needs signals  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). For this purpose Butzer, Bardaro, Stens and Vinti [6] extended the concept of this averaged modulus to functions belonging to the space

$$M(\mathbb{R}) := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f \text{ measurable and bounded on } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let  $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ . The  $k$ -th averaged modulus of smoothness for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  is defined as ([6], Def. 1)

$$\tau_k(f; \delta)_p := \|\omega_k(f; \cdot; \delta)\|_p, \tag{5}$$

where  $\omega_k(f; t; \delta)$  is a local modulus of smoothness of order  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  at  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\omega_k(f; t; \delta) := \sup\{|\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_h^k f(x)|; x - \frac{kh}{2}, x + \frac{kh}{2} \in [t - \frac{k\delta}{2}, t + \frac{k\delta}{2}]\},$$

where the central difference is given by

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_h^k f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{\ell} f(x + (\frac{k}{2} - \ell)h). \tag{6}$$

Classical modulus of smoothness ([18], p.76) is defined for  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  by

$$\omega_k(f; \delta)_C := \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_h^k f(\cdot)\|_C,$$

and for  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) by

$$\omega_k(f; \delta)_p := \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_h^k f(\cdot)\|_p.$$

The averaged modulus of smoothness has the following properties ([6], Proposition 4, [19], 4.6.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k(f; \delta)_C &= \omega_k(f; \delta)_C, \\ \tau_k(f; \delta)_\infty &= \omega_k(f; \delta)_\infty, \\ \omega_k(f; \delta)_p &\leq \tau_k(f; \delta)_p \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \\ \omega_k(f, h\delta)_p &\leq [1 + h]^k \omega_k(f, \delta)_p \text{ for any } h > 0 \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $[x]$  is the largest integer less than or equal to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### 2.2 The space $\Lambda^p$

Since the sampling series  $S_W f$  of (1) of an arbitrary  $L^p$ -function  $f$  may be divergent, we have to restrict the matter to a suitable subspace. Further, since we want to use the  $\tau$ -modulus as a measure for the approximation error, we have to ensure that it is finite for all functions under consideration. In [6] was proved that we can define a suitable subspace as follows

*Definition 2.1* ([6], Def. 10, [7], Def. 2.1).

(a) A sequence  $\Sigma := (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called an admissible partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  or an admissible sequence, if it satisfies

$$0 < \inf_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j \leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j < \infty, \quad \Delta_j := x_j - x_{j-1}.$$

(b) Let  $\Sigma := (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an admissible partition of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The discrete  $\ell^p(\Sigma)$ -norm of a sequence of function values  $f_\Sigma$  on  $\Sigma$  of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  by

$$\|f\|_{\ell^p(\Sigma)} := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x_j)|^p \Delta_j \right\}^{1/p}.$$

(c) The space  $\Lambda^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is defined by

$$\Lambda^p := \{f \in M(\mathbb{R}); \|f\|_{\ell^p(\Sigma)} < \infty \text{ for each admissible sequence } \Sigma\}.$$

$\|\cdot\|_{\ell^p(\Sigma)}$  is a seminorm on  $\Lambda^p$ .

It can be shown (see [6], Proposition 18) that if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau_k(f; \delta)_p = 0, \tag{8}$$

where  $R_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ is locally Riemann integrable on } \mathbb{R}\}$ . We have for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  that  $B'_W \subsetneq W'_p \subsetneq \Lambda^p \subsetneq L^p$ , where

$$W'_p := \{f \in L^p; f \in AC^r_{loc}, f^{(r)} \in L^p\}$$

is the classical Sobolev space.

In the following we consider the uniform partitions  $\Sigma_W := (j/W)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  for  $W > 0$  only. For these partitions we have

$$\|f\|_{\ell^p(W)} := \left\{ \frac{1}{W} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| f\left(\frac{j}{W}\right) \right|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_p + \frac{1}{W} \|f'\|_p, \quad f \in W'_p. \tag{9}$$

### 2.3 Sampling operators

For the classical (Whittaker-Kotel'nikov-)Shannon operator we have (see [6], Corollary 33) that if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|S_W^{\text{sinc}} f - f\|_p = 0. \tag{10}$$

Holds the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** ([6], Th. 32). *Let  $f \in \Lambda^p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\|S_W^{\text{sinc}} f - f\|_p \leq c_r \tau_r(f; \frac{1}{W})_p. \tag{11}$$

The constants  $c_r$  are independent of  $f$  and  $W$ .

The most general kernel for the sampling operators  $S_W$  in (1) is defined in the following way.

**Definition 2.2** ([5], Def. 6.3). If  $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded function such that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u-k)| < \infty \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{12}$$

the absolute convergence being uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} s(u-k) = 1 \quad (u \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{13}$$

then  $s$  is said to be a kernel for sampling operators (1).

The absolute moment of order  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  of a kernel  $s$  is defined by

$$m_r(s) := \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |u-k|^r |s(u-k)|. \tag{14}$$

The definition formulated above guarantees that operators (1) give approximations for continuous functions  $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([1], Th. 4.1). *Let  $s \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a kernel. Then  $\{S_W\}_{W>0}$  defines a family of bounded linear operators from  $C(\mathbb{R})$  into itself, satisfying*

$$\|S_W\| = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u-k)| =: m_0(s) \quad (W > 0). \tag{15}$$

For  $f \in \Lambda^p$  we have:

**Proposition 2.3** (cf [7], Proposition 3.2). *Let  $s \in M(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a kernel. Then  $\{S_W\}_{W>0}$  defines a family of bounded linear operators from  $\Lambda^p$  into  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , satisfying  $(1/p + 1/q = 1)$*

$$\|S_W f\|_p \leq m_0^{1/q}(s) \|s\|_1^{1/p} \|f\|_{\ell^p(W)} \quad (W > 0). \tag{16}$$

If the kernel  $s$  is time-limited, i.e. there exists  $T_0, T_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_0 < T_1$  such that  $s(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin [T_0, T_1]$ , then if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we have (see [7], Th. 4.4)

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|S_W f - f\|_p = 0. \tag{17}$$

### 3 Bandlimited kernels

In the following we assume that our kernel  $s$  in (3) belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , which yields  $s \in B_\pi^1$ , because the Fourier transform of  $s$ ,

$$s^\wedge(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lambda\left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right) \text{ implies } s^\wedge(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq \pi. \tag{18}$$

For the band-limited functions  $s \in B_\pi^p \subset L^p(\mathbb{R})$  the norm (15) is related to the norm  $\|s\|_p$  as shown in following.

**Theorem 3.1** (Nikolskii inequality; [20], p.124, [21], Th. 6.8). *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then, for every  $s \in B_\sigma^p$ ,*

$$\|s\|_p \leq \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u-k)|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq (1 + \sigma) \|s\|_p.$$

From the Nikolskii inequality we see that our assumption  $s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is sufficient for (12) and thus  $s$  in (3) is indeed a kernel in the sense of Definition 2.2.

These types of kernels arise in conjunction with window functions widely used in applications (e.g. [22], [23], [24], [25]), in Signal Analysis in particular. Unfortunately bandlimited kernels do not have compact support. Many kernels can be defined by (3).

- 1)  $\lambda(u) = 1$  defines the sinc function.
- 2)  $\lambda(u) = 1 - u$  defines the Fejér kernel  $s_F(t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{sinc}^2 \frac{t}{2}$  (cf. [3]).
- 3)  $\lambda_j(u) := \cos \pi(j + 1/2)u$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  defines the Rogosinski-type kernel (see [9]) in the form

$$r_j(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{sinc}\left(t + j + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \text{sinc}\left(t - j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right). \tag{19}$$

- 4)  $\lambda_H(u) := \cos^2 \frac{\pi u}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \pi u)$  defines the Hann<sup>1</sup> kernel (see [14])

$$s_H(t) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{sinc } t}{1 - t^2}. \tag{20}$$

- 5) The general cosine window

$$\lambda_{C,a}(u) := \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \cos k\pi u \tag{21}$$

defines the Blackman-Harris kernel (see [15])

$$s_{C,a}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \left( \text{sinc}(t - k) + \text{sinc}(t + k) \right), \tag{22}$$

provided (here and following  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer less than or equal to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{23}$$

We get Hann kernel (20) if we take  $m = 1$  in (22).

- 6) Powers of the Hann window (see [24], formula(25a))

$$\lambda_{H,m}(u) := \cos^m \left( \frac{\pi u}{2} \right) \tag{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \cos \left( \left( k - \frac{m}{2} \right) \pi u \right), \tag{25}$$

give a general Hann kernel in the form

$$s_{H,m}(t) = 2^{-m} \frac{\Gamma(1+m)}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{m}{2} - t) \Gamma(1 + \frac{m}{2} + t)}. \tag{26}$$

From ([14], Proposition 2) we have that for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\ell \leq m$

$$s_{H,m}(t) = \frac{1}{2^{m-\ell}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m-\ell}{k} s_{H,\ell} \left( t + k - \frac{m-\ell}{2} \right). \tag{27}$$

Comparing the window function  $\lambda_{H,m}$  in (25) and the general cosine window  $\lambda_{C,a}$  in (21) we see that the general Hann kernel in case of  $m = 2n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a special case of the Blackman-Harris kernel. Indeed  $s_{H,2n} = s_{C,a^*}$ , where the parameter vector  $a^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  has components  $a_0^* = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n}$  and  $a_k^* = \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}} \binom{2n}{n-k}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

<sup>1</sup>"Hann" is the correct name of this window, although the conventional usage is "Hanning". It is named after the well-known Austrian meteorologist Julius Ferdinand von Hann (1839-1921) (see [23], pp. 95–100, [24])

## 4 Sampling operators with kernels, which are linear combinations of translated sinc functions

Many kernels, we considered for sampling operators  $S_W f : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$  are in fact linear combinations of translated sinc-functions. In this case we can use Theorem 2.1 for the estimates of speed of approximation.

### 4.1 Hann sampling operators

Let us first consider Hann sampling operators  $H_{W,m}$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). The Hann kernel  $s_{H,m}(t) = O(|t|^{-m-1})$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. [26]) and we have rapidly decreasing kernels with small truncation error. If we take in (27)  $\ell = 0$  we have a linear combination of sinc-functions because  $s_{H,0} = \text{sinc}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $H_{W,m}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be the Hann sampling operator defined by (1) with the kernel (26). Then for  $f \in \Lambda^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )*

$$\|H_{W,m}f - f\|_p \leq M_m \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p. \tag{28}$$

The constant  $M_m$  is independent of  $f$  and  $W$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{W,m}f - f\|_p = 0.$$

PROOF: According to (27) the sampling series  $H_{W,m}f$  has the form

$$(H_{W,m}f)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (H_{W,m-1}f)(t - \frac{1}{2W}) + (H_{W,m-1}f)(t + \frac{1}{2W}) \right].$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{W,m}f)(t) - f(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (H_{W,m-1}f)(t - \frac{1}{2W}) - f(t - \frac{1}{2W}) + (H_{W,m-1}f)(t + \frac{1}{2W}) - f(t + \frac{1}{2W}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f(t - \frac{1}{2W}) - 2f(t) + f(t + \frac{1}{2W}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|H_{W,m}f - f\|_p \leq \|H_{W,m-1}f - f\|_p + \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(f; \frac{1}{2W})_p.$$

The proof by induction shows that

$$\|H_{W,m}f - f\|_p \leq \|H_{W,0}f - f\|_p + \frac{m}{2} \omega_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p.$$

Since  $H_{W,0} = S_W^{sinc}$  the Theorem 2.1, taking into account the properties of the averaged modulus of smoothness, implies the following

$$\|H_{W,m}f - f\|_p \leq \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p \left( c_2 + \frac{m}{2} \right).$$

The last assertion follows from (28) and (8). ■

### 4.2 Blackman-Harris sampling operators

For the general Blackman-Harris sampling operator  $C_{W,a}$  we have the estimate of speed of approximation via averaged modulus of smoothness of order 2.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $C_{W,a}$  be the Blackman-Harris sampling operator defined by (1) with the kernel (22), then for  $f \in \Lambda^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )*

$$\|C_{W,a}f - f\|_p \leq M_a \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p. \tag{29}$$

The constant  $M_a$  is independent of  $f$  and  $W$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{W,a}f - f\|_p = 0.$$

PROOF: The Blackman-Harris kernel (22) is a linear combination of translated sinc-functions. This allows us to give for the corresponding operator  $C_{W,a}$  the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{W,a}f)(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{j}{W}\right) \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \left( \text{sinc}(Wt - j + k) + \text{sinc}(Wt - j - k) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{j}{W}\right) \text{sinc}(Wt - j + k) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{j}{W}\right) \text{sinc}(Wt - j - k) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \left( (S_W^{sinc} f)\left(t + \frac{k}{W}\right) + (S_W^{sinc} f)\left(t - \frac{k}{W}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which results

$$(C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f)(t) - f(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \left[ \left( (S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)(t + \frac{k}{W}) - f(t + \frac{k}{W}) \right) + \left( (S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)(t - \frac{k}{W}) - f(t - \frac{k}{W}) \right) + \left( f(t - \frac{k}{W}) - 2f(t) + f(t + \frac{k}{W}) \right) \right]. \tag{30}$$

If we take  $L^p$  norm of (31), we get

$$\|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p \leq \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k| \left( \|S_W^{\text{sinc}} f - f\|_p + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_{k/W}^2 f\|_p \right).$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.1, the definition and properties of modulus of smoothness and the properties of the averaged modulus of smoothness:

$$\|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p \leq \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k| \left( c_2 \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p + \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(f; \frac{k}{W})_p \right) \leq \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k| \left( c_2 + \frac{k^2}{2} \right).$$

The last assertion follows from (29) and (8). ■

Some special choices of the parameter vector  $\mathbf{a}$  for the general Blackman-Harris sampling operator  $C_{W,\mathbf{a}}$  allow us to estimate of speed of approximation via averaged modulus of smoothness of higher order than 2.

**Proposition 4.3.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$  the kernel

$$s(t) = \text{sinc}(t) - \frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{j+\ell} q_j [\Delta_1^{2\ell} \text{sinc}(t-j) + \Delta_1^{2\ell} \text{sinc}(t+j)] \tag{31}$$

with  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-\ell+1}$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} q_j = 1$  is a Blackman-Harris kernel  $s_{C,\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{q})}$  with parameter vector  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .

*Proof.* The Blackman-Harris kernels are combinations of translated sinc functions. The coefficients of positive and negative translated sinc functions are equal and the sum of coefficients of both even- and odd-translated sinc functions are equal to 1/2. We show that all the assertions hold for (31).

Denote

$$s_{m,\ell,j}(t) := \text{sinc}(t) - \frac{(-1)^{j+\ell}}{2^{2\ell+1}} [\Delta_1^{2\ell} \text{sinc}(t-j) + \Delta_1^{2\ell} \text{sinc}(t+j)], \tag{32}$$

which allows us to represent the kernel (31) in the form

$$s(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} q_j s_{m,\ell,j}(t).$$

We get from (32), using the central differences in form (6), the representation

$$s_{m,\ell,j}(t) = \text{sinc}(t) - \frac{(-1)^{j+\ell}}{2^{2\ell+1}} \Delta_1^{2\ell} [\text{sinc}(t-j) + \text{sinc}(t+j)] = \text{sinc}(t) - \frac{(-1)^{j+\ell}}{2^{2\ell+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} (-1)^k \binom{2\ell}{k} [\text{sinc}(t-j+\ell-k) + \text{sinc}(t+j+\ell-k)].$$

It is well known that sums of binomial coefficients  $\binom{2\ell}{k}$  with both even and odd  $k$  are equal to  $2^{2\ell-1}$ . Using this result we can see that the sum of coefficients of sinc functions with odd translates is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} 2^{2\ell-1} 2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

and the sum of coefficients of sinc functions with even translates is equal to

$$1 - \frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} 2^{2\ell-1} 2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

which indicates that the kernel  $s_{m,\ell,j}$  in (32) is a Blackman-Harris kernel and the kernel (31) is Blackman-Harris kernel if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} q_j = 1.$$

□

Now we are able to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.4.** For  $C_{W,\mathbf{a}}$  ( $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ ) let  $\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq m$  be fixed. If there exists a parameter vector  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-\ell+1}$ , such that we have for the kernel (22) a representation via central differences (6) in form (31), then for  $f \in \Lambda^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )

$$\|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p \leq M_{\mathbf{a},\ell} \tau_{2\ell}(f; \frac{1}{W})_p. \tag{33}$$

The constant  $M_{\mathbf{a},\ell}$  is independent of  $f$  and  $W$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p = 0.$$

PROOF: The representation (31) allows us to give for the corresponding operator  $C_{W,\mathbf{a}}$  the representation

$$(C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f)(t) = (S_W^{sinc} f)(t) - \frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} q_k \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} (S_W^{sinc} f)(t + \frac{k}{W}) + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} (S_W^{sinc} f)(t - \frac{k}{W}) \right),$$

which results

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f)(t) - f(t) &= (S_W^{sinc} f)(t) - f(t) - \frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} q_k \left[ \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} \left( (S_W^{sinc} f)(t + \frac{k}{W}) - f(t + \frac{k}{W}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} \left( (S_W^{sinc} f)(t - \frac{k}{W}) - f(t - \frac{k}{W}) \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2^{2\ell+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} q_k \left( \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} f(t - \frac{k}{W}) + \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} f(t + \frac{k}{W}) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

If we take  $L^p$  norm of (35), we get

$$\|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p \leq \|S_W^{sinc} f - f\|_p \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} |q_k| \right) + \|\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_{1/W}^{2\ell} f\|_p \frac{1}{2^{2\ell}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} |q_k|.$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.1, the definition and properties of modulus of smoothness and the properties of the averaged modulus of smoothness:

$$\|C_{W,\mathbf{a}}f - f\|_p \leq \tau_{2\ell}(f; \frac{1}{W})_p \left( c_{2\ell} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} |q_k| \right) + \frac{1}{2^{2\ell}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-\ell} |q_k| \right).$$

The last assertion follows from (33) and (8). ■

We give some examples, how to apply Theorem 4.4. If we take  $m = 3$ , then there exist one Blackman-Harris operator, for which we have the estimate of order of approximation via  $\tau$ -modulus of smoothness of order 6.

**Corollary 4.5.** Take  $m = 3$  and  $\ell = 3$  in Theorem 4.4. Then we have the estimate of order of approximation of the corresponding sampling operator  $C_{W,\mathbf{a}^*}$  in terms of  $\tau$ -modulus of smoothness of order 6. The corresponding parameter vector  $\mathbf{a}^*$  is in form:

$$\mathbf{a}^* = \frac{1}{32}(22, 15, -6, 1).$$

For  $m = 3$  and  $\ell = 2$  we have a family, depending on one parameter  $q$ , of sampling operators.

**Corollary 4.6.** Take  $m = 3$  and  $\ell = 2$  in Theorem 4.4. Then we have the estimate of order of approximation of the corresponding sampling operator  $C_{W,\mathbf{a}_q}$  in terms of  $\tau$ -modulus of smoothness of order 4. The corresponding parameter vector, depending on a parameter  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , is in form

$$\mathbf{a}_q = \frac{1}{16}(10 + 2q, 8 - q, -2 - 2q, q).$$

Some choices of the parameter  $q$  in Corollary 4.6 give us sampling operators with special properties.

**Remark.** If we take  $q = 0$  in Corollary 4.6, then we have the case, corresponding to  $m = 2$  and  $\ell = 2$  in Theorem 4.4. If we take  $q = -1$  in Corollary 4.6, then the sampling operator  $\overline{C}_{W,\mathbf{a}_{-1}}$ , defined by (1) with the kernel  $s(t) = 2s_{C,\mathbf{a}_{-1}}(2t)$  is interpolating (see [16]).

### 4.3 Subordination by Rogosinski-type sampling operators

The Rogosinski-type sampling operators give us the opportunity to represent other sampling operators with even bandlimited kernels  $s \in B_{\pi}^1$ . Indeed, in [9] we proved the following subordination equalities

$$S_W f = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s(j + 1/2) R_{W,j} f, \tag{35}$$

$$S_W f - f = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s(j + 1/2) (R_{W,j} f - f). \tag{36}$$

By (16) we have for  $f \in \Lambda^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , satisfying  $(1/p + 1/q = 1)$

$$\|R_{W,j} f\|_p \leq m_0^{1/q}(r_j) \|r_j\|_1^{1/p} \|f\|_{\ell^p(W)} \quad (W > 0). \tag{37}$$

For the operator norm we proved (see [12] or [9], Th. 3), that

$$m_0(r_j) = \|R_{W,j}\| = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2j} \frac{1}{2\ell+1} = \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(j+1) + O(1) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots).$$

We can show, that

$$\|r_j\|_1 = 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{2j} (-1)^\ell (\text{Sci}(\ell+1) - \text{Sci}(\ell)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(j+1) + O(1) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots),$$

where the integral sinc is defined by

$$\text{Sci}(x) := \int_0^x \text{sinc}(v) dv.$$

So we need

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |s(j+1/2)| \log(j+1) < \infty$$

for (35). To use (36) we need

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |s(j+1/2)|(j+1)^2 < \infty,$$

as the proof of the following theorem suggest.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $R_{W,j}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) be the Rogosinski-type sampling operator defined by (1) with the kernel (26), then for  $f \in \Lambda^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )

$$\|R_{W,j}f - f\|_p \leq M_j \tau_2(f; \frac{1}{W})_p. \tag{38}$$

The constant  $M_j$  is independent of  $f$  and  $W$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \Lambda^p \cap R_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{W \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{W,j}f - f\|_p = 0.$$

PROOF: The Rogosinski-type kernel (19) is a arithmetemetic mean of two translated sinc-functions. This allows us to give for the corresponding operator  $R_{W,j}$  the representation

$$(R_{W,j}f)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)(t + \frac{2j+1}{2W}) + (S_W^{\text{sinc}} f)(t - \frac{2j+1}{2W}) \right).$$

The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.2. ■

**Acknowledgement.** This research was partially supported by the Estonian Sci. Foundation, grant 9383, and by the Estonian Min. of Educ. and Research, project SF0140011s09.

**References**

[1] P. L. Butzer, W. Splettstößer, R. L. Stens, The sampling theorems and linear prediction in signal analysis., Jahresber. Deutsch. Math-Verein 90 (1988) 1–70.  
 [2] A. F. Timan, Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable, MacMillan, New York, 1965, (Orig. Russian ed. Moscow, 1960).  
 [3] M. Theis, Über eine interpolationsformel von de la Vallee-Poussin., Math. Z. 3 (1919) 93–113.  
 [4] P. L. Butzer, G. Schmeisser, R. L. Stens, An introduction to sampling analysis, in: F. Marvasti (Ed.), Nonuniform Sampling, Theory and Practice, Kluwer, New York, 2001, pp. 17–121.  
 [5] R. L. Stens, Sampling with generalized kernels, in: J. R. Higgins, R. L. Stens (Eds.), Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis: Advanced Topics, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999.  
 [6] P. L. Butzer, C. Bardaro, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, Approximation error of the Whittaker cardinal series in terms of an averaged modulus of smoothness covering discontinuous signals., J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316 (2006) 269–306.  
 [7] P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, Reconstruction of signals in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -space by generalized sampling series based on linear combinations of B-splines., Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 19 (2008) 35–58.  
 [8] P. L. Butzer, C. Bardaro, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, Prediction by samples from the past with error estimates covering discontinuous signals., IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 56 (2010) 614–633.  
 [9] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, On sampling series based on some combinations of sinc functions., Proc. of the Estonian Academy of Sciences. Physics Mathematics 51 (2002) 203–220.  
 [10] Z. Burinska, K. Runovski, H.-J. Schmeisser, On the approximation by generalized sampling series in  $L_p$ -metrics., Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing 5 (2006) 59–87.  
 [11] A. Kivinukk, Approximation by typical sampling series, in: Proc. 1999 Intern. Workshop on Sampling Theory and Applications, Loen, Norway, Norwegian Univ. Sci. and Technology, 1999, pp. 161–166.



- [12] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, Subordination in generalized sampling series by Rogosinski-type sampling series, in: Proc. 1997 Intern. Workshop on Sampling Theory and Applications, Aveiro, Portugal, 1997, Univ. Aveiro, 1997, pp. 397–402.
- [13] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, Blackman-type windows for sampling series., *J. of Comp. Analysis and Applications* 7 (2005) 361–372.
- [14] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, On sampling operators defined by the Hann window and some of their extensions., *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing* 2 (2003) 235–258.
- [15] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, On Blackman-Harris windows for Shannon sampling series., *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing* 6 (2007) 87–108.
- [16] A. Kivinukk, G. Tamberg, Interpolating generalized Shannon sampling operators, their norms and approximation properties., *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing* 8 (2009) 77–95.
- [17] B. Sendov, V. Popov, *The Averaged Moduli of Smoothness*, Wiley, Chichester, 1988.
- [18] P. L. Butzer, R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Vol. 1, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Stuttgart, 1971.
- [19] R. Trigub, E. Belinsky, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation of Functions*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [20] S. M. Nikolskii, *Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems*, Springer, Berlin, 1975, (Orig. Russian ed. Moscow, 1969).
- [21] J. R. Higgins, *Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [22] H. H. Albrecht, A family of cosine-sum windows for high resolution measurements, in: *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, Salt Lake City, Mai 2001, IEEE, Salt Lake City, 2001, pp. 3081–3084.
- [23] R. B. Blackman, J. W. Tukey, *The measurement of power spectra*, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1958.
- [24] E. J. Harris, On the use of windows for harmonic analysis., *Proc. of the IEEE* 66 (1978) 51–83.
- [25] H. D. Meikle, *A New Twist to Fourier Transforms*, Dover, Berlin, 2004.
- [26] G. Tamberg, On truncation error of some generalized Shannon sampling operators., *Numerical Algorithms* 55 (2010) 367–382.