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Abstract

We propose a modified bivariate Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type based on an iterative method, introduced by A.V. Masjukov and V.V. Masjukov. This iterative method does not require an artificial setup of the parameters and it is based on successive scaling. For the numerical examples, using an idea of J. R. McMahon, we construct some representative sets of knot points for the initial sets of the interpolation nodes.
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1 Preliminaries

The Shepard method, introduced by D. Shepard in [16], is one of the best suited methods for interpolating scattered data. It has many computational advantages and many of its drawbacks have been overcome during the time by combining it with other interpolation operators or by modifying the basis functions. One way to improve the method is described by A. V. Masjukov and V. V. Masjukov in [11] and consists of constructing an iterative multiscale method. This idea has been used by other authors in order to obtain better approximation results (see, e.g., [5]).

The modified Shepard operator introduced by Franke and Nielson in [10] and later on improved in [9], [14], [15] requires some artificial parameters such as a number of closest nodes or a radius of influence. The iterative method [11] is free of these setup parameters and performs at each iteration a reduction of the current interpolation result's residue. The accuracy of this method is comparable to the modified Shepard procedure as shown in [11], although for both methods there are cases when one is preferred to the other.

Consider $f : X \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the distinct nodes $(x_i, y_i) \in X$, $i = 1, ..., N$. Denoting by $d_i(x, y)$ the distance between a given point $(x, y) \in X$ and the node $(x_i, y_i)$, $i = 1, ..., N$, the Shepard operator in the bivariate case is defined as

$$(S^\mu f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i,\mu}(x, y)f(x_i, y_i),$$

where

$$A_{i,\mu}(x, y) = \frac{\prod_{j=1 \atop j \neq i}^{N} d_j(x, y)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1 \atop j \neq k}^{N} d_j(x, y)}, \quad (1)$$

with the parameter $\mu > 0$, whose choice could change the behaviour of the operator.

It is well-known that method's accuracy can be improved by considering the modified Shepard operator, defined as:

$$(S^w f)(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i(x, y)f(x_i, y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i(x, y)},$$

with

$$W_i(x, y) = \left[ \frac{u_+ - d_i(x, y)}{u_+ - d_i(x, y)} \right]^2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$u_+ = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } u > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
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and \( R^w \) is a radius of influence about the node \((x_i, y_i)\) which depends on \(i\). It is computed as the distance between the node \(i\) and the \(n\)th nearest node to it for \(n > N_w\), where \(N_w\) is fixed. In addition, \(n\) should be as small as possible within the constraint that the \(n\)th nearest node is significantly more distant than the \((n-1)\)st nearest node (see, e.g., [15]).

Starting with Shepard himself, many other authors have proposed to apply the operator not on \(f(x_i, y_i)\) directly, but on some interpolation operator \(P(f)(x_i, y_i)\) in order to obtain better results. So, the combined Shepard operator is given by

\[
(S_f^f f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{\mu}(x, y) P(f)(x_i, y_i),
\]

with \(A_{\mu}\) defined in (1) and \(\mu > 0\).

Many operators have been constructed in this way (see, e.g., [1]-[4], [7], [8]).

Based on the least squares thin-plate spline type function, the classical and the modified Shepard operators, it is introduced in [6] the Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type. An improvement of the method is obtained by replacing the initial set of nodes with a representative smaller set of knot points, using an idea described by McMahon and Franke in [12] and [13].

2 The Iterative Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type

Consider \(f : X \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) and the distinct nodes \((x_i, y_i) \in X, i = 1, ..., N\). The classical Shepard operator combined with a least squares thin-plate spline is defined in [6] as

\[
(S_f f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{\mu}(x, y) F_i(x, y),
\]

where \(A_{\mu}, i = 1, ..., N,\) are defined by (1), for a given parameter \(\mu > 0\).

The modified Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type has the form

\[
(S_f f)(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i(x, y) F_i(x, y)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i(x, y)},
\]

where \(W_i, i = 1, ..., N,\) are defined by (2).

In both cases the least squares thin-plate spline \(F_i, i = 1, ..., N,\) is given by

\[
F_i(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{15} C_j d_j^2 \log(d_j) + ax + by + c,
\]

with \(d_j = \sqrt{(x-x_j)^2 + (y-y_j)^2}\).

The coefficients \(C_j, a, b, c\) are found in order to minimize the expression (see, e.g., [6], [12]):

\[
E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [F_i(x_i, y_i) - f(x_i, y_i)]^2,
\]

by solving a system that has the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix} M & X \\ X^T & O_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \phi \end{pmatrix},
\]

considering the following notations:

- \(M = (m_{ij})_{i,j = 1}^{M}, m_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_{ij}^2 \log(d_{ij}), & i \neq j \\ 0, & i = j \end{cases} \), with \(d_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_i-x_j)^2 + (y_i-y_j)^2}\);
- \(X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_N & y_N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \);
- \(C = (C_1, ..., C_{15})^T, \quad \phi = (a, b, c)^T, \quad f = (f_1, ..., f_N)^T \) and \(\phi = (0, 0, 0)^T\).

To improve method's results, the initial set of \(N\) nodes has been replaced by a smaller subset of \(k\) representative knot points \((x_i, y_i), i = 1, ..., k,\) following an idea from [12]. The algorithm is also described in [6]. In short, using a randomly generated set of knot points, the procedure consists in replacing the set of knot points by the centroids of each subset of points that are closest to the same knot, until the set of knots has not changed for two consecutive iterations. Using these knot points, the classical Shepard operator and the modified Shepard operator are defined in a similar way.
The iterative Shepard operator introduced in [11] is of the following form

\[
u(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N'} u_j^{(k)}w\left((x - x_j, y - y_j)/\tau_k \right) / \sum_{p=1}^{N'} w\left((x_p - x_j, y_p - y_j)/\tau_k \right) \right),
\]

with \((x, y) \in X\), \((x_j, y_j) \in X\), \(i = 1, ..., N'\), are the interpolation nodes and \(w\) is a continuously differentiable weight function, having the properties that

\[w(x, y) \geq 0, \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, w(0, 0) > 0\] and \(w(x, y) = 0\) if \(||(x, y)|| > 1\).

\(u_j^{(k)}\) denotes the interpolation residuals at the \(k\)th step, with \(u_j^{(0)} \equiv u_j\).

Keeping the ideas from [11] and based on the method described in the paper, we define the **iterative Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type**, denoted by \(u_i\), as

\[
u_i(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N'} u_j^{(k)}w\left((x - x_j, y - y_j)/\tau_k \right) / \sum_{p=1}^{N'} w\left((x_p - x_j, y_p - y_j)/\tau_k \right) \right),
\]

where \((x, y) \in X\), \((x_j, y_j) \in X\), \(i = 1, ..., N'\), and the interpolation residuals at the \(k\)th step \(u_j^{(k)}\) are given by

\[u_j^{(0)} = F_j(x_j, y_j), (x_j, y_j) \in X, j = 1, ..., N'
\]

and

\[u_j^{(k+1)} = u_j^{(k)} - \sum_{p=1}^{N'} u_j^{(k)}w\left((x_j - x_p, y_j - y_p)/\tau_k \right) / \sum_{p=1}^{N'} w\left((x_p - x_j, y_p - y_j)/\tau_k \right).
\]

The functions \(F_j\) are the least squares thin-plate splines, given in (5). The parameter \(\tau_k\) is chosen as in [11] and it decreases from a given value \(\tau_0\), which can be, for example

\[\tau_0 > \sup_{(x, y) \in X} \max_{3 \leq n} ||(x - x_j, y - y_j)||
\]

to

\[\tau_k < \min_{i \neq j} ||(x_i - x_j, y_i - y_j)||.
\]

The sequence \(\{\tau_k\}\) of scale factors is given by

\[\tau_k = \gamma^k \tau_0, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1).
\]

It was also shown in [11] that by applying the idea of multiscale analysis, the behaviour of the interpolant does not change very much for values of \(\gamma\) from 0.6 to 0.95. Smaller values of \(\gamma\) could also be chosen for decreased computational time, in the case of sparsed interpolation nodes.

The weight function \(w\) is defined as

\[w(x, y) = w(x)w(y)
\]

with

\[w(x) = \begin{cases} S(1 - |x|)^4 - 4(1 - |x|)^5, & |x| < 1 \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}.
\]

We construct the iterative Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type on both sets of interpolation nodes that were mentioned before: firstly for \(N' = N\) nodes and then for \(N' = k\) knot points that are representative for the initial set of \(N\) nodes, with \(k < N\).

### 3 Test results

We consider the following test functions (see, e.g., [9], [14], [15]):

**Gentle:** \(f_1(x, y) = \exp\left[\frac{-81}{200}(x - 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2\right]/3,\)

**Saddle:** \(f_2(x, y) = \frac{(1.25 + \cos 5.4y)}{6 + 6(3x - 1)^2}.
\]

Table 1 contains the maximum errors for approximating the functions given in (8) by the corresponding two iterative Shepard operators given in (7), for \(N=100\) random generated points in \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\) and \(k=25\) knot points. We consider \(\tau_0 = 3, K = 20\) and \(\gamma = 0.66, 0.84\). We also compute the maximum errors for the classical and the modified Shepard operators of least squares type given in (3) and (4), respectively. We consider both sets of nodes, the 100 initial interpolation nodes and the 25 representative knot points, for \(\mu = 3\) and \(N_\mu = 19\).
In Figures 1 - 2 we plot the graphs of \( f_1, f_2 \) and the corresponding iterative Shepard operator \( u_L \) when \( N' = N = 100 \) and \( N' = k = 25 \).

We observe that in all the cases, the choice of the 25 representative knot points produces better approximation results, this illustrating the benefits of the algorithm of choosing the representative set of knot points. Also, the smallest error for the approximation of the Saddle function is attained using the iterative Shepard operator of least squares type and for the approximation of the Gentle function is obtained for the modified Shepard operator of least squares type. Because the differences are not large, the two methods are comparable, depending on the set of data that is used, both of them having important advantages, as previously mentioned.

Table 1: Maximum approximation errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( f_1 )</th>
<th>( f_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u_L, \gamma = 0.66 )</td>
<td>( k = 25 )</td>
<td>0.1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N = 100 )</td>
<td>0.1693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u_L, \gamma = 0.84 )</td>
<td>( k = 25 )</td>
<td>0.1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N = 100 )</td>
<td>0.1603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_1f )</td>
<td>( k = 25 )</td>
<td>0.1578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N = 100 )</td>
<td>0.1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S_2f )</td>
<td>( k = 25 )</td>
<td>0.1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N = 100 )</td>
<td>0.1246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Function \( f_1 \).
Figure 1: Graphs for $f_1$. 
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$u_L, \gamma = 0.66, k = 25$ 

$u_L, \gamma = 0.66, N = 100$ 

$u_L, \gamma = 0.84, k = 25$ 

$u_L, \gamma = 0.84, N = 100$
4 Conclusions

In this paper we have proposed an iterative modification of the Shepard operator of least squares thin-plate spline type, introduced in [6]. For the given sets of interpolation nodes, smaller subsets of representative knot points are constructed and the numerical results demonstrate the benefits of this algorithm. The advantages of this method are also confirmed by the numerical results.
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